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1.0 Purpose of Accreditation

Accreditation is defined as the public recognition of quality achievement by a healthcare organization, as demonstrated through an independent external peer comparison of the organization’s performance against current best practices.

The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta (CPSA) diagnostic accreditation programs:
- assist facilities with a process of ensuring accuracy and reliability of examination/services
- provide standards of practice and assess compliance to these standards
- identify deficiencies that affect the quality of examination/services, and impact patient and/or staff safety
- evaluate a facility’s quality system’s ability to identify and mitigate risk and variability in system processes
- gives formal recognition that a facility’s provision of quality diagnostic services
- encourage and facilitate peer review
- provide educational opportunities for both the facility being accredited and the assessment team
- promote uniformity in practice provincially, where variations in practice are counter-productive for the province
- maintain a comprehensive data repository for scope of service/levels of testing and resources
- promote standardization and educational initiatives across Canada through inter-provincial collaboration
- promote and encourage dialogue amongst stakeholders on best-practices and best ways to incorporate them into the workflow
- ensure effective medical direction over medical practices so that business interests do not determine the standards of care

2.0 College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta (CPSA) Accreditation Program

2.1 CPSA Lines of Business

The College of Physicians & Surgeons of Alberta is mandated by legislation to regulate the practice of medicine in Alberta and is responsible for licensing physicians, administering standards of practice and conduct, and resolving physician-related complaints.

It also provides leadership and direction on issues of importance to the health care system such as access to services, quality improvement, patient safety and privacy.

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta sets direction and policy for the College, while daily operations are managed by the College Registrar and leadership team. College Council includes Physician members elected by their medical colleagues and public members appointed by Alberta’s Lieutenant-Governor in Council. Alberta’s medical school deans also hold a Council seat, and medical learners are represented as observers.

The lines of business for the CPSA are as follows:
- Register physicians
- Investigate and resolve physician-related complaints
- Provide clinical review
- Accredit health facilities
- Guide professional conduct and ethical behavior
- Contribute to public policy affecting health care delivery
2.2 CPSA Mission, Vision and Values

Our Mission
Serving the public by guiding the medical profession

Our Vision
Albertans are healthier because the College of Physicians & Surgeons of Alberta:
- ensures that physicians are competent throughout their careers;
- supports physicians in providing compassionate, caring and ethical services to the people of Alberta;
- fosters quality health care for all Albertans through innovation, collaboration and cooperation with other key stakeholders; and
- advocates for public policy that contributes to the health of Albertans.

Our Values

We do the right thing.
We act responsibly, respectfully and with integrity, aspiring to be fair and responsible. We acknowledge our mistakes as well as our successes, and strive to do what’s right in the service to the public.

We make informed decisions.
Our decisions are based on evidence, knowledge, experience and best practice. We plan, measure outcomes and apply what we learn.

We empower people.
We believe people perform best when they see the Vision, set their own goals, have the resources they need and aspire to excellence and personal growth.

We collaborate.
We invite others to contribute to achieving our goals and value their time and expertise. We share what we know generously within our legislated limits, and seek opportunities to collaborate externally in areas of mutual interest.

We are innovators.
We think ahead to create opportunity. We set the bar high and value creativity in exploring new and better ways of doing our work.

We enjoy and find meaning in our work.
We care about what we do and give our best. While our work is serious, we enjoy camaraderie with our coworkers and take time to celebrate each other’s milestones and achievements.
2.3 CPSA Organizational Structure - Figure 1
2.4 Accreditation Program History

In 1965, the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta, upon recommendation from the Alberta Society of Pathologists, took steps to set up a program for accreditation for diagnostic medical laboratories. The Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine, which then reported to Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta, was formed. The mandate of the Committee was to monitor and improve the quality of clinical laboratory services in Alberta. In order to meet this mandate, the Committee developed a process for accreditation that included requirements for on-site assessments of medical laboratories and a proposal for a proficiency-testing program to monitor testing performed.

The first assessments for accreditation took place in 1968 and included only non-hospital-based laboratories. In 1970 the Alberta Department of Health entered into a contract with the CPSA to accredit hospital-based laboratories on their behalf and to make recommendations to them pertaining to accreditation.

Since that time, the CPSA has remained the accreditor of all public and private diagnostic laboratories in the province of Alberta.

2.5 Authority and Oversight

The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta is constituted under the Health Professions Act (Schedule 21) with a mandate to regulate medical practitioners and medical practice in the best interests of the public of Alberta. Authority to accredit specified medical services and facilities is one aspect of that mandate.

Pursuant to section 8.4 of Schedule 21 of the Health Professions Act, and the Bylaws of the College, facility staff are required to cooperate fully with any assessment, which shall include:

a) permitting the assessment team to enter the laboratory facility and assess the premises and all diagnostic equipment located therein;

b) permitting the assessment team to assess all records pertaining to the provision of diagnostic laboratory services, and providing copies of the same if so requested;

c) providing to the assessment team, information requested by them in respect of the provision of diagnostic laboratory services, in the facility;

d) providing the information described in clause (c) in the form requested by the assessment team;

e) providing requested samples or copies of any material, specimen, or product originating from the diagnostic laboratory services, provided by the facility;

f) answering questions posed by the assessment team as to procedures or standards of performance and if requested, providing copies of records relating to procedures followed and standards of performance applied in the diagnostic laboratory facility;

g) providing requested copies of all documents and information relating to business arrangements involving the practice conducted in the diagnostic laboratory facility.

Although the CPSA’s statutory authority does not extend to health services in approved hospitals or healthcare facilities operated by the Government of Canada or the Government of Alberta (Health Professions Act Schedule 21 - 8.1(1)), the value of practice uniformity between the private and public sectors and the credibility of the CPSA’s programs have long been acknowledged by practitioners and government. Consequently, four of the CPSA’s accreditation programs (laboratory medicine, diagnostic imaging, pulmonary function and neurophysiology) are under contract with government agencies (AHS) to provide accreditation of public sector facilities.
The CPSA’s accreditation programs are overseen by a standing committee, the Medical Facility Accreditation Committee (MFAC), with members appointed by the Council from diverse disciplines in clinical and diagnostic medicine. MFAC conducts a secondary review of practice standards developed by the accreditation advisory committees, hears argument on all changes to accreditation standards and reviews all facility accreditation and physician approval statuses. A member of the MFAC also attends a full meeting of the individual accreditation advisory committees each year to report on the diligence and objectivity of the work conducted.

The 6 standing advisory committees are composed of peer professionals (both physician/technical) who identify the needs and realities of Alberta stakeholders based on local practice. The Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine includes participation by an external pathologist consultant expert. The consultant expert’s role is to observe and report to AHS on the objectivity of the CPSA’s accreditation decisions in regard to AHS medical laboratories.

2.6 Overview of Laboratory Accreditation Program

The CPSA administers accreditation programs for those services that Council determines deserve explicit standards and verification of compliance with those standards, whether pertaining to the qualifications of physicians who provide them or the safety of those services to the public.

Accreditation looks at compliance, emphasizing continuous quality improvement and promoting optimum performance. More specifically, the CPSA’s accreditation program looks closely at policies, processes and procedures to assess the safety and reliability of the service being provided, as well as the performance of the people involved and the product produced.

The Laboratory Accreditation Program examines all aspects of laboratory quality and operations including:

- organization, management and personnel
- quality management systems
- physical facilities
- equipment, reagent and supplies
- laboratory information systems
- pre-examination, examination and post-examination activities
- quality assurance activities
- safety
- point-of-care testing

The Laboratory Accreditation Program is a peer review process with a goal to improve laboratory performance through objective evaluation. Assessors evaluate a laboratory’s compliance with the specific requirements of a standard based on objective observation and assessment. All accreditation assessment findings are vetted by the Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine to eliminate any potential personal assessor bias, ensure consistent and thorough approach for all facilities, and to review standards for applicability to current best practice.

Benefits of CPSA Laboratory Accreditation Program

- Assists facilities with the process of ensuring accuracy and reliability of testing/services
- Provides standards of practice and assesses compliance to the standards
- Identifies deficiencies that affect the quality of testing/services, as well as patient and staff safety
- Provides educational opportunities for both the facility being accredited and the inspection team
- Promotes uniformity in practice provincially – where variations in practice are counter-productive for the province.
- Promotes standardization and educational initiatives across Canada through interprovincial collaboration
- Maintains a comprehensive data repository for scope of service/modalities/levels of testing and resources within the province
• Promotes and ensures dialogue amongst providers and administrators on best practices and best ways to incorporate them into the workflow.
• Encourages and facilitates peer review.
• Ensures effective medical direction over medical practices so that business interests do not determine the standards of care.

Confidentiality
All assessment findings are confidential and are only disclosed to parties explicitly associated with an assessment. Documented consent must be obtained from the assessed facility for release of assessment findings or accreditation certificates to other parties.

Frequency and Selection of Laboratories to be Assessed
Diagnostic laboratories are assessed initially when opened, subsequently on a four year rotation and if they relocate their laboratory to a different physical facility. This does not preclude an interim assessment that may be required as a result of expansion of services.

Assessments are conducted by geographical Sector areas ensuring that all laboratories within the designated Sector are assessed in the same calendar year. At the beginning of the year, all facilities in the area due to be assessed are identified and the Assessment Coordinator and Team Leader are assigned. All facilities, both public and private, performing laboratory examination for patient management, with the exception of physicians doing basic testing, are required to undergo an assessment. The CPSA does not register or accredit Physician Office Laboratories.

After a new facility is registered and initially accredited, it will then be added into the regular Sector geographical 4-year cycle. If the timing of this next 4-year cycle is very close to when the new facility was accredited, the CPSA may choose not to re-assess the facility.

Facilities who are not reporting results for patient management and only testing as part of a screening process do not require CPSA Accreditation.

On-going Self-Assessment
The CPSA laboratory accreditation General Standards requires facilities to conduct formal internal audits of all system elements, both managerial and technical, at a frequency defined in their quality management system. Facilities are not required to submit audit findings to the CPSA.

The CPSA accreditation standard tools are a significant resource for self-audits as they promote a constant state-of-readiness. Laboratories are able to customize the standards tools by:
• tailoring to scope of testing
• documenting/embedding links to policies, processes, procedures, records, forms and labels beside the relevant standard
• utilizing the tool for the performance of comprehensive or targeted audits in between the 4-year CPSA assessments
2.7 Laboratory Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Fee Classifications for Diagnostic Laboratories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Categories apply to Annual General Administration and Annual ALQEP Fees

**Basic Complexity:**
Perform test examinations limited to urinalysis, POCT pregnancy tests, glucose (glucose meters)

**Moderate Complexity:**
Perform routine chemistry, hematology/coagulation, transfusion medicine (type/screen/crossmatch or dispensary-only) (e.g. rural hospital laboratories)

**High Complexity:**
Perform moderate complexity scope of examinations plus any of the following (e.g. urban tertiary care laboratories etc.):
- Anatomic Pathology
- Microbiology (comprehensive organism identification and susceptibility testing)
- Molecular diagnostics
- Specialized chemistry and hematology
- Transfusion Medicine serological investigations

**Specialized Complexity:**
Perform only limited scope of examinations or very esoteric scope (e.g. Provincial Laboratory, Canadian Blood Services, Regional Fertility Clinic, Genetics Laboratory Services etc.)

**Volume based on Total Annual Testing Volume:**
High: > 500,000
Medium: 50,000 – 499,999
Low: < 50,000

*Volume is based from actual volumes provided by facilities/Sectors on submission of their Pre-Assessment Data Verification Forms; volumes will verified on an annual basis with Sectors/facilities
2.8 Personnel

2.8.1 CPSA Laboratory Accreditation Personnel and Roles
The Assistant Registrar for Accreditation has overall responsibility for the diagnostic accreditation programs and is supported by the Director of Accreditation, the Program Manager for Laboratory Accreditation Services and the Accreditation Administrator.

2.8.2 Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine
The Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine (ACLM) oversees the CPSA’s accreditation program for medical diagnostic laboratories. Through the development of evidence based standards and monitoring facility compliance with those standards, the Committee promotes high standards of medical practice in diagnostic facilities.

Roles and Responsibilities of the ACLM
- Develop and maintain evidence based standards for laboratory practice;
- Provide advice to the Medical Facility Accreditation Committee (MFAC) on pending decisions relating to the provision of laboratory medicine services;
- Monitor compliance with CPSA approved standards through on-site assessments for accreditation;
- Facilitate the introduction of new technologies;
- Provide advice to others in the health care system on the use of off-site/point-of-care laboratory testing by non-laboratorians;
- Provide education to promote safety and quality improvement initiatives;
- Respond to the needs of stakeholders for improved laboratory services in Alberta;
- Review and audit of the business practices of the facility to ensure compliance with relevant CPSA By-laws and Standards.

Membership and Tenure
Committee members are appointed by MFAC for an undefined term. Membership considers expertise, geographic location, urban versus rural and public versus private representation. Members, who serve by virtue of their position, serve as long as they fill that position.

Membership includes:
- Laboratory Physicians
- Clinical Laboratory Doctoral Scientists
- Laboratory Technologist(s)

Non-Voting Members:
- 5 Assessment Coordinators

All voting members are professionals responsible to professional regulatory Colleges for their competence, their standards of practice and their conduct.

The Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine also includes participation by an external pathologist consultant expert. The consultant expert’s role is to observe and report to AHS on the objectivity of the CPSA’s accreditation decisions in regard to AHS medical laboratories.
2.9 Assessment Teams

2.9.1 Assessment Coordinator
Each assessment team will include an Assessment Coordinator who is a consultant of the CPSA. Their primary role is to coordinate, organize, and facilitate the assessment process.

2.9.2 Team Leader
The assessment Team Leader is assigned by the CPSA with care being taken to avoid any potential conflicts of interest. The primary role of the Team Leader, in addition to representing the CPSA/assessment team with the laboratory’s management, is to serve as a spokesperson, to conduct assessments in their area of expertise and to perform a high level review of organization, management and personnel standards. Where the need arises, the Team Leader is responsible for mitigating and resolving conflict and providing guidance to the assessment team.

2.9.3 Team Selection
The Assessment Coordinator(s), in collaboration with the CPSA, select the members of the team which may include experienced laboratory technologists, clinical laboratory doctoral scientists, and laboratory physicians. All team members are provided with the training, information and material necessary to conduct a fair and thorough assessment.

Selection of the assessment team is based on:
- scope and complexity of laboratory services
- requirement for out-of-province assessors
- number/geographic location of facilities
- experience of team members

The Assessment Coordinator(s) are present at each on-site assessment to promote consistency and continuity and to ensure an un-biased process.

2.9.4 Assessment Team Training
All assessment team members are required to participate in a CPSA Assessor Training session, within 6 weeks prior to performing an on-site assessment. Following completion of the training session, assessment team members must demonstrate competency by successful performance of an on-line examination.

Upon successful completion of the training session and exam, all assessors receive a continuing professional development certificate.

2.9.5 Conflict of Interest / Confidentiality Agreements /Liability
All members of CPSA accreditation committees and assessment teams sign a Confidentiality Agreement with the CPSA on an annual basis. Committee members and assessors are also required to confidentially destroy all confidential assessment materials or return to the CPSA for confidential disposal.

Assessment team members are also required to sign a Conflict of Interest Agreement for each assessment cycle to ensure there are no potential conflicts specific to that assessment.

The CPSA’s liability insurance specifically extends to cover assessors who are employed, contracted or act as agents. As well, the HPA extends liability protection to all CPSA staff, contractors and agents. While performing assessments for the CPSA, assessors are advised not to display conduct that can be reasonably construed as a solicitation or offer consultant services that may compromise the objectivity of the assessment.
2.10 Western Canada Diagnostic Accreditation Alliance

In 2013, the medical regulatory bodies of the four western Canadian provinces embarked on a journey to consider opportunities for diagnostic laboratory accreditation resource sharing and collaboration. It is a well recognized fact that standards and accreditation process development is a resource intensive initiative. The ultimate goal of sharing resources would also culminate in the enhanced standardization of accreditation processes across the member provinces.

To this end, the Western Canadian Diagnostic Accreditation Alliance (WCDAA) was formed. The initial and primary focus of the group was to join forces in the development of a common set of laboratory accreditation standards. The 4 member provinces in a fair and collaborative process, determined the key elements that were felt to be essential in a diagnostic accreditation standard. One of these critical elements was the certification of the standards by the International Society for Quality in Health Care External Evaluation Association (IEEA) as the value of achieving international recognition and validation of the standards was universally recognized and supported by all members.

Provincial representatives from each of the 4 member provinces utilized these elements to compare and evaluate each one of the provincial base documents. The unanimous group consensus was to use the newly minted Alberta standards as the foundation documents based on this evaluation process.

The Alliance members developed the framework for a formal “Memorandum of Agreement” (MOA). This agreement outlines the operating parameters for the use of the common standards by those jurisdictions choosing to accept them as the standards used by their accreditation program. Specifically, the MOA outlines strict guidelines for standards revision management, control, protection and distribution of standards. In addition, the MOA also requests that each WCDAA member province actively commit to promoting the WCDAA to its provincial stakeholders to encourage participation of assessors in cross-jurisdictional assessments.

To date, three of the four western provinces (Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba) have committed to the WCDAA initiative by signing the MOA.

The WCDAA logo has been developed which is tailored for each provincial jurisdiction. The standards in each province incorporate both the WCDAA logo and the provincial regulatory body logo.

On-going revision of the standards incorporates stakeholder feedback from all WCDAA member organizations and facilities.
3.0 Standards Document

3.1 Standards Overview

The Standards are the basis for accreditation decisions and are compiled by CPSA and stakeholder experts and are reviewed and approved by the Advisory Committee on Laboratory Medicine, with final vetting and approval by the Medical Facility Accreditation Committee.

The Standards are evidence based and reference accepted best practices, Provincial and Canadian legislation, relevant International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards, and other recognized provincial, national and international standards (e.g. College of American Pathologists, CLSI, CSTM, Canadian Standards Association). Each accreditation standard has accompanying reference citation(s).

All standards included in the documents are mandatory requirements for accreditation.

The Standards are process-based and incorporate a quality management system approach. The language, terms and organization of the documents are consistent with ISO 15189 (Medical laboratories – Requirements for quality and competence).

A review of accreditation standards occurs on an ongoing basis, considering and incorporating stakeholder feedback. Comprehensive formal review occurs on an annual basis.

The CPSA Laboratory Accreditation program currently maintains the following standards documents for the assessment of diagnostic laboratory facilities:

- General (also includes LIS, Safety and POCT)
- Anatomic Pathology
- Chemistry (also includes Urinalysis and Toxicology)
- Fertility Assessment – Semen Analysis
- Flow Cytometry
- Hematology
- Microbiology
- Molecular Diagnostics and Genetics
- Transfusion Medicine

For Histocompatibility (HC) Testing the CPSA accepts certification/accreditation by American Society for Histocompatibility & Immunogenetics (ASHI) or the College of American Pathologists (CAP). CPSA accreditation standards apply to the general sections of the TT/HC laboratory (Physical Facility, Safety LIS etc.).

There is only one customizable standard set for ALL facility types regardless of scope (High Complexity – High Volume, High Complexity – Medium Volume, Moderate Complexity – Medium Volume, Moderate Complexity – Low Volume, Basic Complexity – Low Volume, Specialized Complexity – High Volume, Specialized Complexity – Low-Medium Volume).

All accredited Alberta facilities receive a complete standards document set. CPSA accredited laboratories and other approved users may access, print or make a copy of the standards for their non-commercial personal use. Any other reproduction in whole or in part requires written permission from the CPSA and the material must be credited to the CPSA.

Prior to each assessment customized standards documents, tailored to the scope of testing of a facility, will be made available to:

- facilities for self-assessment and/or to prepare for an on-site CPSA assessment.
- CPSA assessors in preparation for on-site assessments and to record objective evidence/observations while performing on-site assessments.
IEEA Accreditation:
On May 15, 2018 at a meeting of the Board Accreditation Committee of the International Society for Quality in Health Care External Evaluation Association (IEEA) the CPSA Standards for Diagnostic Laboratory Accreditation received IEEA reaccreditation (effective May 2018 through to May 2022).

For more information on IEEA international accreditation see: www.isqua.org

3.2 Format of Standards
The standards are process-based and incorporate a quality management system approach. The language, terms and organization of the documents are consistent with ISO 15189.

All standards documents are consistently organized in the following order (as applicable in each document):

- Organization, Management & Personnel
- Quality Management System
- Physical Facilities
- Equipment, Reagents & Supplies
- LIS
- Pre-examination policies, processes and procedures
- Examination policies, processes and procedures
- Quality Assurance of examination procedures
- Post-examination policies, processes and procedures
- Safety
- POCT

The ‘General Standards’ document includes ALL standards common to ALL disciplines. To eliminate redundancy, the discipline-specific standards include ONLY those standards specific and relevant to each discipline. For example, general quality control, proficiency testing, calibration, validation, and procedure manual standards are not repeated in each discipline specific standard.
# Standard Reference Assessment of Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Assessment of Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| G.10.2 | Safety - Physical Facility continued | Laboratory design ensures containment of hazards, appropriate to the level of assessed risks in technical work and associated areas. | Does the laboratory design ensure containment of the following hazards:  
  - microbiological?  
  - chemical?  
  - radiological?  
  - physical?  

  Guidance: Laboratories working with viable biological agents shall have design characteristics appropriate to the containment of microorganisms of moderate to high risk to the individual. Laboratories designed to work with organisms of Risk Group III or above shall include design characteristics for greater containment.|
| SS  |                                                                 | CSA\(^3\) 15190 – 6.2, 6.3.6  
NCCLS\(^8\) GP17-A2 – 4.2.6 | Does the laboratory design provide a safe working environment in associated office areas and adjoining public space?  

  Does the laboratory have a process to minimize and respond to environmentally related risks to the health and safety of employees, patients, and visitors?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>□</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>□</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>□</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>□</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each standard consists of the following components:
- **CPSA standard number**
- **Patient or staff safety risk category** (where applicable):
  - Each standard has been reviewed to determine if it represents a direct and/or immediate patient or staff safety risk.
  - Those with either a patient safety (PS) or staff safety (SS) designation indicate that any non-compliance may have direct and/or immediate impact on safety.
  - PS/SS standards are ‘shaded’ for ease of detection
  - Assessors must ensure that ALL standards with either a PS or SS designation are directly assessed at the time of the on-site assessment.
- **Description of standard requirement**
- **Specific reference(s)** (e.g. CLSI, ISO, AABB, College of American Pathologists) linked to reference listing at the end of the document
- **Interpretation guidance** where relevant regarding the application of requirements
- **Assessment of compliance questions (AOC)** that provide specific guidance and practical direction for evaluation of compliance with the standard
- **Compliance assessment category checkboxes**
- **Observation field for recording of objective evidence** (field is expandable in electronic document)
3.3 Assessment of Compliance (AOC)

- Although the AOC questions address the key evidence required to meet the intent of each standard, they are not meant to be all encompassing.
- There may be other evidence that demonstrates compliance with the intent of the standard. Individual assessors apply their own expertise in determining compliance with each standard.
- Compliance with the standard may be assessed by review of documents and records, observation, interviews or a combination of these techniques.
- Where AOCs state “All of the following”, compliance with all elements is expected to achieve compliance with the standard.

Assessment of Compliance Categories – the CPSA “PEN” or CPEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Assessment Categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N - Upon assessment of the objective evidence, failure to meet the intent and/or requirement of the standard will result in an assessment of non-compliance.

The standards are process based and a single non-compliance may encompass one or more observations. In assessing compliance with the standard, assessors will record direct specific objective evidence, which will be included in the report for each non-compliance.

P - “In Progress” citations require submission of future evidence of compliance based on direction from the assessor and/or the Advisory Committee. Examples where this assessment may be applied include situations such as: equipment purchased but not on-site and/or implemented; renovations in progress but not complete

Receipt of “FULL” accreditation status is contingent upon satisfactory resolution of all non-compliances (N and P).

E - “Exceeds Requirement” recognizes those situations where a facility exceeds the intent of the standard and employs commendable practice. The intent of capturing these occurrences is to promote and focus on quality initiatives.

3.4 Terms and Definitions

A listing of application terms and definitions is provided at the end of each standards document.
3.5 Reference Listing
A detailed reference listing is provided at the end of this document. Specific reference citation details can be accessed by clicking on individual link(s) included beside each standard. The references support the content and intent of each standard. It should be noted that all components of the cited references may not always be relevant and/or applicable. Compliance is expected with CPSA Standards.

3.6 Review and Revision of Standards
A comprehensive review of references occurs annually to ensure they are compliant with current standard references and best practices. Supporting references and any new references are reviewed, updated and their impact (if any) on the wording of the requirement is assessed.

Any stakeholder may offer suggestions for standards revision at any time.

Revision submissions are considered by the CPSA ONLY if they meet the following conditions:
- submitted using the Stakeholder Standards Review Form,
- identification of specific standard or section if applicable to multiple standards
- supported by detailed rationale/justification AND verifiable references (link or attachment must be included)
- applicable to all diagnostic laboratory facilities across the province and are not limited to organization specific practice
- contact information included for use by the CPSA if clarification of submission is required
4.0 Accreditation Process – 4-Year Re-accreditation

4.1 Initiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 CPSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• identifies Sector/laboratories to be assessed</td>
<td>• Laboratories revert to Provisional accreditation status throughout the accreditation process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• notifies Laboratory Director(s)</td>
<td>• Entire Sector is assessed within the same calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 CPSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selects proposed Team Leaders (TL) and Assessment Coordinators (AC)</td>
<td>Potential conflicts of interest are considered when selecting proposed TL/AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 CPSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides Sector area to be assessed with the Assessment Logistics Form</td>
<td>The Sector area or facility laboratory director is requested to complete and sign the Assessment Logistics Form which includes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• provision of key Sector assessment contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• request for aggregate Sector summation conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• approval of proposed Assessment Coordinator(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Facility/Sector CPSA</td>
<td>Completes Assessment Logistics Form</td>
<td>Completed form is submitted with signatures to CPSA within the specified timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Pre-assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 CPSA/AC</td>
<td>Determines specific assessment dates and prepares draft schedule</td>
<td>CPSA in collaboration with the ACs determine the specific assessment dates. Sector area assessment cycles encompassing multiple facilities are kept to 5 business days to minimize the required assessor time commitment. AC prepares and distributes draft assessment schedules to facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ensures that the Sector/facility has reviewed and has no concerns with the schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 CPSA</td>
<td>Conducts training sessions/Webinars for Sector/facility personnel</td>
<td>Facility Training sessions focus on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• overview of assessment process steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• use of the standards tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• assessment logistics &amp; timelines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.2 Pre-assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7 CPSA         | Provides each laboratory to be assessed with a “Pre-assessment Data Verification” (PADV) Form | The PADV requests submission of the following for each individual facility undergoing assessment:  
- general facility information  
- hours of operation  
- key laboratory personnel  
- scope of testing / test menu  
- Sector managed programs / processes  
- annual workload  
- organizational structure  
- examples of examination request forms and patient reports  
- list of examinations performed  
- analyzer/instrument list by laboratory section  
- Summarized External Quality Assurance/Proficiency Testing performance data  
- List of POCT analyzer/equipment/kits  
- List of POCT procedures performed  
CPSA pre-populates the form with information in the current CPSA database. Facilities are directed to carefully review pre-populated data. |
| 8 Facility     | Completes PADV form and submits along with required documentation and signature to CPSA within the specified timeline | CPSA follows up directly with the facility regarding any missing documentation or documentation requiring further clarification. |
| 9 CPSA/AC      | Selects assessment team members based on Sector/facility scope of testing, availability & experience | The AC(s) and CPSA review the scope of testing for each facility based on the submitted PADV documentation. Selection of the assessment team is based on:  
- scope and complexity of laboratory services  
- requirement for out-of-province assessors  
- number/geographic location of facilities  
- experience of team members  
AC/CPSA ensures separate assessors (i.e. not the AC) are assigned for the assessment of ALL components of the General Standards (QMS, Safety, LIS, POCT). |
| 10 CPSA        | Advises Laboratory Director(s) of proposed assessment team members and requests formal written approval using the Proposed Team Member Form | For each facility/Sector area assessment, the Laboratory Director receives a listing of the proposed team members including their:  
- name  
- scope of assessment activities  
- location of employment/employer |
| 11 Facility    | Submits written approval of assessment team members to CPSA | If any original members are not approved by the facility/Sector due to an identified conflict of interest, the AC/CPSA will solicit alternate assessment team members and request approval. |
| 12 AC          | Sends confirmation of team approval and assessment dates to assessors | CPSA sets up assessor access to SharePoint and sends notification |
### 4.2 Pre-assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>13 CPSA</strong></td>
<td>Distributes customized assessment tools (Standards documents) to Sectors/facilities</td>
<td>Customization is based on information provided on the completed PADV form. Sections not pertaining to the facility are removed. There still may be individual standards within sections that are not applicable to each facility. Assessors will not be assessing these specific requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14 CPSA</strong></td>
<td>Conducts internal laboratory and external stakeholder surveys</td>
<td>CPSA sends a link to internal / external client stakeholders to complete a brief on-line survey regarding the laboratory service. The surveys encompass stakeholder satisfaction with:  - physical facility  - pathologist services  - general on-site laboratory services including test menu and turn-around time  - referral testing services  - communication  - workload  - training and competency Survey findings are reviewed by CPSA staff. Any significant findings are summarized and provided to the assessment team for corroboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15 CPSA</strong></td>
<td>Prepares customized assessment supporting documents for provision to Assessors</td>
<td>The CPSA prepares customized tools/supporting documentation for assessors:  - summary of previous citations and responses referenced to current standards  - PADV scope of testing crosswalk for all facilities  - further customized facility-specific standard sets (reflecting assessor focus of assessment)  - facility and discipline specific assessor guides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16 AC</strong></td>
<td>Prepares final summary schedule and detailed facility schedules</td>
<td>AC finalizes schedules (including lunch requirements) and sends to the CPSA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17 CPSA / AC</strong></td>
<td>Distributes final summary and detailed facility schedules</td>
<td>Final summary assessment schedule is uploaded to the Facility and Assessor SharePoint sites. Detailed facility schedules are uploaded to the Facility SharePoint sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18 CPSA</strong></td>
<td>Coordinates Sector/facility logistics with the facility/Sector area assessment contact</td>
<td>CPSA requests the following for the Sector area and each facility by requesting completion of the On-site Assessment Logistics – Sector forms:  - meeting room for Sector summation conference (if required)  - meeting room for each facility  - provision of lunches as required by schedule  - access to any laboratory records located outside the laboratory  - facility to communicate with appropriate clinical/administrative contacts with notification that a clinical transfusion medicine, POCT, Respiratory (where applicable) and IT assessment will occur in conjunction with the laboratory assessment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.2 Pre-assessment – continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>19</strong> Sector/facility</td>
<td>Sends completed On-site Assessment Logistics – Sector &amp; Facilities forms</td>
<td>Completed forms are submitted within the specified timelines. CPSA confirms receipt of all information regarding the above arrangements and follows-up with facilities regarding any missing or conflicting information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **20** AC | Coordinates team assessment logistics and sends to CPSA and team | AC determines specific assessment team logistics:  
- transportation  
- accommodation  
- team meeting(s)  
AC distributes assessment logistic details to CPSA and individual team members. |
| **21** CPSA | Distributes assessment documentation to each assessment team member to facilitate adequate preparation | CPSA provides each team member the appropriate information for the assessment, including:  
- the customized assessor facility-specific standard sets  
- completed PADV/PADV scope of testing crosswalk  
- summary of previous citations and responses  
- discipline specific assessor guides for each facility  
- copy of the General Standards  
All assessment information is provided to team members via their secure SharePoint site. |
| **22** CPSA | Assessment team and team leader training session(s) | CPSA assessment team training:  
- Mandatory for all assessment team members to participate in  
- Following completion of the training session, assessors and team leaders must demonstrate competency by successful performance on an examination  
- Continuing education certificates are provided upon successful demonstration of competency  
Training sessions encompass:  
- Overview of the CPSA assessment process and standards documents  
- General assessment guidance and techniques  
- CPSA assessor policies (e.g. confidentiality, conflict of interest, honoraria, expenses, etc.)  
- Specific assessment logistics  
- Team Leader specific roles and responsibilities (TL Session) |
### 4.2 Pre-assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 23 Assessment team | Reviews assessment documentation and materials in preparation for the on-site assessment. | Each member is expected to review the assessment documentation relevant to their scope of assessment activities to ensure that they are adequately prepared to perform a thorough and efficient assessment. The primary purpose is to:  
  - become familiar with the General and applicable discipline-specific standards  
  - become familiar with the scope of activity (test menu, workload, master document list for P/P/P, analyzer/instrument list) including which programs/processes are Sector managed  
  - identify areas of concern for further follow-up during the assessment (previous citations)  
  Standards tools can be further customized by each assessor to meet their personal preferences for recording of observations and assessment categories on-site (e.g. add personal comments/directives, add additional space for recording, etc.)  
  Assessors are expected to bring their own customized tools, either paper or electronic tablet version, to use during the assessment. |
| 24 CPSA | Provides assessment documentation to AC / TL | CPSA prepares comprehensive assessment binders for the ACs and TL that include all relevant assessment documentation. CPSA prepares flash drives for the ACs that include electronic copies of all relevant assessment documentation, copies of complete standard sets, facility citation recording templates etc. |
| 25 CPSA | Conducts Team Leader / AC teleconference | CPSA conducts a brief teleconference for the TL / AC to review assessment logistics and expectations and answer questions. |
### 4.3 On-site assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **26** AC      | Conducts pre-assessment team briefing meeting | Prior to the initiation of the on-site assessment the AC(s) conducts a briefing meeting for assessment team members. Typically held the evening prior to the on-site assessment cycle, meetings encompass:  
- team introductions  
- assessment schedules and logistics review  
- CPSA assessor name tags distribution  
- discussion of areas for focus/concern  
- confirmation of areas of focus for each assessment team member  
- assessor questions/ clarifications |
| **27** AC/TL   | Conduct an opening meeting with Sector/facility personnel | At the beginning of the on-site assessment at each facility, the AC/TL conduct an opening meeting for Sector/facility personnel that encompasses:  
- introductions  
- assessment logistics and timelines  
- assessment process outline |
| **28** Facility| Conducts facility tours for assessment team members | An initial tour of the entire laboratory will give a general overview of the laboratory operation and key personnel. |
## 4.3 On-site assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 29 Assessment team members | Conduct on-site assessments in areas of expertise | **The Assessment Process – General:**  
The accreditation assessment process involves:  
- verifying **compliance** with the intent of accreditation standards  
- follow-up of previously identified areas of concern  
- interaction with laboratory staff at all levels  
- interaction with non-laboratory physicians and other health care providers (via surveys)  
- review of Sector managed areas (e.g., POCT, LIS)  

**Assessor Behaviour:** engage in clear and concise dialogue with facility staff  
- explain the assessment process to facility staff, as required  
- exhibit positive body language  
- assess according to the standards (unbiased approach)  
  - there are many ways to meet the intent of a standard  
- adopt an educational rather than a consultative OR punitive approach  
  - the goal of the assessment is laboratory improvement  
- do not act as a consultant  
- be conscious of timelines and assessment schedules and obligations  

**The CPSA Assessment Tool:**  
The on-site assessment is performed using the facility specific standards document tools.  
Each assessor must utilize both the General Standards tool and the discipline-specific Standards tool(s).  
The General Standards document includes ALL standards common to ALL disciplines. To eliminate redundancy, the discipline-specific standards include ONLY those standards specific and relevant to each discipline. For example, general quality control, proficiency testing, calibration, validation, and procedure manual standards are not repeated in each discipline specific standard.  

**Assessment of Compliance**  
- Although the AOC questions address the key evidence required to meet the intent of each standard, they are not meant to be all encompassing.  
- There may be other evidence that demonstrates compliance with the standard.  
- Where AOCs state “all of the following”, compliance with all elements is expected (e.g. test request form)  
- Individual assessors apply their own expertise in determining compliance with each standard.
| | | • Compliance with the standard may be assessed by review of documents and records, observation, interviews or a combination of these techniques. |
4.3 On-site assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment team members</td>
<td>Conduct on-site assessments in areas of expertise</td>
<td>Guidance for Assessors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>When assessing laboratory sections:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• It is not possible to review the entire scope of laboratory operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o focus on areas of highest and lowest test volumes, likely problem areas and test results with highest impact on patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o directly assess ALL standards with either a PS or SS designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o verify that all non-conformances cited on the previous assessment have been corrected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o utilize the CPSA Assessor Guides to focus / direct assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Review Sector managed programs / processes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Review documents (policies, processes and procedures - PPPs) and records</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o the assessor should choose a random, representative selection of documents and records to review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o assessors should not rely solely on documents/records chosen or selected by the facility for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Observe activities:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o engage in meaningful dialogue with laboratory and non-laboratory staff (ask open ended questions such as: (what, when, where, why, who, how)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o compare observed activities to the facility policies, processes and procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o use techniques, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• tracer method: follow a sample through pre-examination, examination &amp; post-examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• drill-down: further investigate areas of concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• show/teach me: staff members describe a procedure as they perform it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Gather information:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o always seek corroboration/validation/verification of findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o evaluate for significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Determine the scope and nature of potential citations:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o is there a P/P/ or P?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o Is the P/P/ or P in compliance with the standards?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o is the P/P/ or P being followed as written?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o Is there evidence of training/competency assessment for the activity?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPSA Assessor Guides:
- Anatomic Pathology
- Chemistry
- Flow Cytometry
- General/LIS/System Host
- General/Pre-Post Examination
- General/QMS
- General/QMS/Safety
- General/QMS/Safety/LIS
- General/Safety
- Hematology
- LIS Facility
- Microbiology
- Molecular Diagnostics and Genetics
- POCT
- Semen Analysis
- Team Leader
- Transfusion Medicine
| o  is there acceptable documentation of the activity? |
| o  Is the required review of the activity performed and documented? |
| Discuss / confirm potential deficiencies with facility representatives |
4.3 On-site assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 29 Assessment team members | Conduct on-site assessments in areas of expertise                   | • **Record objective evidence:**  
  - as immediately as possible after encountering citation  
  - using the customized assessment tool (paper or electronic)  
  - do not rely on memory  
  - be factual and thorough  
  - provide ample background detail for interpretation and determination by the CPSA of the requirement/EOC  

• **Photographic evidence for the Advisory Committee:**  
  - for safety related citations, consult with AC for necessity to corroborate observation with photographic evidence  
  - AC will be responsible for notifying the facility contact and taking required photographs  
  - AC will ensure that no individuals or confidential information are identifiable in the photographs

**Compliance Assessment Categories:**

• **Non-conformances (N)**  
  - failure to meet the intent and/or requirement of the standard  
  - The standards are process based and a single non-compliance may encompass one or more observations.

• **In-progress citations (P)**  
  - working towards meeting intent and requirements of standard; assessor notes evidence of timely progress towards full compliance  
  - require submission of future evidence of compliance based on direction from the assessor and/or the Advisory Committee  
  - examples where this assessment may be applied include situations such as: equipment purchased but not on-site and/or implemented; renovations in progress but not complete  
  - are not meant to address partial or incomplete compliance (e.g. incomplete manuals)

• **Exceeds requirement citations (E)**  
  - recognize those situations where a facility exceeds the intent of the standard and employs commendable practice  
  - the intent of capturing these occurrences is to promote and focus on quality initiatives.
### 4.3 On-site assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Assessment team members** | Notify AC/TL/CPSA immediately of any serious deficiencies that may have immediate impact on staff or patient safety | Assessors encountering any situation that in their judgment, represents potential for significant immediate harm to staff or patients are directed to bring it to the attention of:  
  #1 - the laboratory personnel for immediate action as deemed appropriate  
  #2 - AC who will consult with the TL and determine the necessity and urgency of contacting the CPSA |
| **Assessment team members** | Communicate PEN findings (assessors) to AC while on-site | **PEN Findings:**  
  The AC will determine and communicate the timelines and frequency for debriefing assessors to obtain assessment PEN findings. At larger facility assessments this could be multiple times per day.  
  AC will ask assessors to provide the following for each citation and record the details in the citation recording template:  
  - Standard number (if known)  
  - Compliance assessment category (PEN)  
  - Detailed observation/objective evidence  
  - Comments (where applicable)  
  The AC ensures all citations include sufficient and clear detail in the objective evidence to facilitate the CPSA determination of the requirement, EOC, and timeline for EOC.  
  If the assessor and/or AC is unable to determine the appropriate standard number to reference the citation, ACS are advised to record the other citation details and the CPSA will make the determination. |
4.3 On-site assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32 AC/TL</td>
<td>Conduct pre-summation conference team meeting</td>
<td>The AC/TL de-brief with the entire assessment team prior to the facility summation conference to determine and summarize key findings for presentation at the summation conference. The AC/TL will make particular note of systemic/Sector issues (e.g. document control in multiple lab sections).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 33 AC/TL       | Conduct a summation conference for the Sector/facility management and personnel | The primary purpose of the summation conference is to highlight the key findings and outline the next steps in the assessment process. The TL/AC serve as the primary spokespersons during the summation meeting in order to bring consistency of format and detail to the process. In person summation conferences are conducted at each facility at the end of the facility assessment. Due to the size and complexity of the various health Sectors, the option of also conducting a Sector area summation conference is also made available. A request for a Sector area conference is indicated on the Assessment Logistics Form. The Sector/area summation conferences address significant findings noted in multiple facilities in the Sector area.

**Summation conference agenda:**
- Short review of the objectives of the accreditation process
- Review of commendable findings and practices including any ‘E’ citations
- Review of significant non-conformances. (The purpose of this is to ensure that there are no “significant surprises” in the report when received by the facility/Sector.)
- Overview of the next steps in the CPSA accreditation process including timelines for:
  - meeting of the ACLM to review the draft final report
  - distribution of final report
  - facility responses and submission of EOC
- Acknowledgement of laboratory personnel for their cooperation and support of the accreditation process.
- Facility questions
## 4.4 Post-assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 34 AC          | Submits facility specific Citation Recording Summaries to CPSA | As soon as possible following each facility’s on-site assessment, the AC securely submits for each facility:  
- Citation Recording Summaries including:  
  - Standard number (if known)  
  - Compliance assessment category (PEN)  
  - Detailed observation/objective evidence  
  - Comments (where applicable)  
  AC should include any additional information or direction regarding on-site findings that would assist the CPSA in finalizing the requirements and requested EOC. |
| 35 CPSA        | Formats and finalizes draft facility reports | Based on the citation recording summaries provided by the AC/TL/CPSA, the CPSA completes/finalizes the following for each facility report:  
- Facility demographics and key personnel  
- Assessment information and team details  
- Accreditation process dates  
- Facility Overview  
- Citations:  
  - Standard number  
  - Safety Risk category  
  - Compliance assessment category (PEN)  
  - Detailed observation/objective evidence  
  - Requirement  
  - Evidence of Compliance (where applicable)  
  - Timeline for submission of EOC  
Guidelines for requirement of 30 day EOCs:  
- Significant safety issue  
All other requests for 90 day EOCs are based on the judgment of the assessors/CPSA and the ACLM and include but are not limited to the following:  
- All ‘P’ – ‘In Progress’ citations  
- Issues cited on previous assessment reports  
- All requirements categorized as PS/SS  
- Systemic/multi-facility issues  
The CPSA ensures consistent/uniform:  
- application of the standards based on similar observations  
- wording of requirements and EOC  
- timelines for submission of EOC |
### 4.4 Post-assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 36 CPSA        | Prepares Sector aggregate report and citation cross-reference | Based on the Citation Recording Summary provided by the AC, the CPSA compiles a Sector aggregate report that includes:  
  - aggregate assessment information (facilities / assessment dates)  
  - assessment team details  
  - aggregate assessment statistics and graphs  
  - link to a detailed citation document that lists each separate standard citation by number and cross-references which facilities are cited for each standard |
| 37 ACLM        | Vets and approves final draft facility reports | CPSA schedules ACLM meeting to occur within 15 business days of the last day of the assessment cycle.  
  At the meeting, the AC for the assessment presents the reports to the ACLM and represents the assessment team in responding to questions or clarification requests.  
  ACLM reviews/revises/ approves the facility assessment citations to:  
  - eliminate any personal bias  
  - ensure consistent application of the standards from one assessor/assessment to another  
  - endorse EOC requirement and timeline for EOC submission based on risk assessment  
  - ensure standards/requirements reflect current best practice |
| 38 CPSA        | Distributes final facility reports to Laboratory Director(s) | Within 10 business days of the ACLM meeting, the CPSA posts the finalized individual facility reports and the Sector aggregate report on the secure CPSA SharePoint site.  
  The CPSA notifies the Laboratory Director of the facility/Sector and any Sector designated distribution contacts that the final reports are available electronically.  
  The final reports are formatted to include a section for a facility response to each individual non-conformance/ in-progress citations. |
### 4.4 Post- assessment - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>39</strong> CPSA</td>
<td>Provides accreditation evaluation forms to facilities and assessors</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of the assessment process and customer satisfaction, facilities and the assessment team are asked to provide feedback on the Accreditation Evaluation Forms. Stakeholders are afforded the opportunity for anonymous comment. Results are compiled and reviewed annually by the CPSA and ACLM. Changes to process are implemented as appropriate based on feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>40</strong> Sector/facility</td>
<td>Submits a response to requirements and requested evidence of compliance</td>
<td>Facilities are required to input their response directly into the report and embed any requested supporting documentation/EOC as applicable. Responses are uploaded to secure facility SharePoint site. <strong>For requirements with requests for EOC:</strong> Facilities must provide a response and required EOC based on timelines specified in the report (30 or 90 days from the date of the report). <strong>Responses to requirements without requests for EOC:</strong> Facilities must provide a response within 90 days from the date of the report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>41</strong> CPSA</td>
<td>Reviews Sector/facility responses to requirements and requested evidence of compliance</td>
<td>The CPSA reviews Sector/facility responses to requirements and requested evidence of compliance and provides recommendations to the ACLM as to the appropriateness of the response. If required based on the facility responses, the CPSA will consult with the AC and/or the individual assessment team members for clarification. The CPSA provides a section in each report to facilitate the Committee responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>42</strong> ACLM</td>
<td>Reviews/approves facility responses</td>
<td>At the next meeting following the receipt of 30 and 90 day responses, the ACLM reviews/revises/approves the recommendations of the AC on the facility responses, with a view to: • acceptability of response/corrective action • further action/clarification required EOC may be required for any response based on submitted response, regardless of whether EOC was requested in the initial report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Additional Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 ACLM</td>
<td>Grants Full accreditation status</td>
<td>ACLM determines if any outstanding non-conformances (either due to volume or type of non-conformances) would substantiate a reversion to “Provisional” status. If this decision is made, a “Provisional” certificate is issued and the laboratory is advised to replace their “Full” certificate with the “Provisional” certificate. Once the identified “provisional” non-conformance(s) are satisfactorily addressed, the laboratory is granted “Full Accreditation” status and a certificate is issued. Accreditation decisions of the ACLM are reviewed and approved by MFAC. If a laboratory is denied accreditation, the laboratory may access the CPSA formal appeal process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 Honoraria and Expense Reimbursement
For assessors - Refer to the current Honoraria and Expense Policy (on the CPSA Assessor SharePoint site) for guidance and information.

6.0 Assessment Fees
Facilities will be invoiced annually for the Annual Admin Fee. An assessment fee will also be invoiced following each 4 year on-site assessment (for private facilities) and (for public facilities) Alberta Health Services is invoiced at the beginning of the year that the Sector area is undergoing an assessment.

Payment to the CPSA is due upon receipt of these invoices.

A list of current Laboratory Fees can be found on the CPSA website.

7.0 List of Accredited Laboratories
A list of CPSA accredited laboratories is available on the CPSA website.
## APPENDIX “A”

### ACCREDITATION STANDARDS – STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT POLICY AND PROCESSES

### 1.0 Standards Development Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1</th>
<th>Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1</td>
<td>Standards are:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) evidence based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) process based, wherever possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) in alignment with ISO principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) inclusive of a quality management system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5) in compliance with ISQua principles for standards development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6) comprehensive and practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7) include provincial specific directives, where necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8) consistent across CPSA programs, wherever possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2</td>
<td>The format of the standards:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) facilitates standardized reporting and improved report turnaround time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) facilitates improved data management capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) includes a compliance assessment scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) includes a risk assessment scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3</td>
<td>The development process includes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) extensive review of relevant reference documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) input from experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) feedback from a broad stakeholder review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4</td>
<td>New sets of standards, or substantial revisions, are approved by the appropriate Advisory Committee and Medical Facilities Accreditation Committee (MFAC).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Standards Development Process Steps

### 2.1 Project Plan Development

2.1.1 The CPSA develops a plan for the project, including specific deliverables and timelines.

### 2.2 Draft Standard(s)

2.2.1 The CPSA:
1) drafts the common non-program specific elements of the standards, in alignment with the existing accreditation program standards
2) performs an environmental scan and obtains relevant references.

2.2.3 The CPSA develops the draft standards document(s):
1) review the common standards for alignment with the program
2) draft the program specific standard content, associated Assessment of Compliance (AOC) questions and cited references
3) ensure that each standard is supported by more than one reference, wherever possible
4) determine if guidance is required for interpretation of any standard or AOC
5) draft detailed Appendix for any province specific requirements.

2.2.4 The CPSA formats the draft document(s), in alignment with the existing accreditation program standards

### 2.3 Expert Focus Groups

2.3.1 The CPSA establishes specific expert focus groups (limited to 4-5 specific experts) to perform a high-level of the draft standards, including but not limited to:
1) inclusion of all relevant technical sections
2) removal of inapplicable or irrelevant standards
3) relevancy and comprehensives of the reference documents.

2.3.2 The focus group reviews the draft document(s) for a specified period (generally 2 weeks) and submits feedback.

2.3.3 The CPSA reviews the feedback and revise the document(s) as required.

### 2.4 Stakeholder Review

2.4.1 The CPSA distributes the draft standards to relevant stakeholders for a specified review period.

2.4.2 Stakeholders review the draft document(s) for the specified period) and submit feedback.

2.4.3 The CPSA reviews the feedback and revises the document(s) as required.

### 2.5 Standards Piloting

2.5.1 A pilot of the standards is conducted by the Assessment Coordinators, in consultation with the AQSC and PM, to ensure that each standard is relevant, understandable, measurable, beneficial and achievable.

2.5.2 Feedback is provided to the CPSA on the following:
1) relevance of standards to scope of stakeholder practice
2) measurability of the standard
3) rating scale
4) wording of the standards (clear and unambiguous).
2.0  Development Processes continued

### 2.6  Approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.6.1</th>
<th>The draft standards are presented to the Advisory Committee for review and approval.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6.2</td>
<td>The standards are presented to the Medical Facility Accreditation Committee for review and approval.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.7  Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.7.1</th>
<th>The CPSA posts the standards (Word and .pdf current versions) on the secure SharePoint site.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7.2</td>
<td>The CPSA develops appropriate educational presentations / tools related to the new standards for stakeholders (e.g., webinars, Program Guides, website, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.3</td>
<td>The CPSA pursues ISQua accreditation of the new standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix A: Versioning Guidelines for Standards Documents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version #</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft d1</td>
<td>To focus group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft d2</td>
<td>For public comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version: Month, YYYY – Draft d3</td>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version*: Month, YYYY – Draft d4</td>
<td>MFAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month, YYYY – v1</td>
<td>For Final Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month, YYYY – v1.1*</td>
<td>Upon annual / ad-hoc revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month, YYYY – v.2**</td>
<td>Upon 4-year revision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sub-versions: version sub# (e.g., .1) continually increments with the release of revisions (annual or ad-hoc) of a version

** Version # continually increments with the release of a new 4-year version of the standards
# 2.0 Revision Policy

## 1.1 4 Year Revision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1.1</th>
<th>Every 4 years, a comprehensive review of all standards, assessment of compliance questions and references will be performed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2</td>
<td>All required revisions are incorporated and tracked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3</td>
<td>The draft standards are distributed to stakeholders for review and comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.4</td>
<td>The draft standards are piloted to ensure the efficacy of the standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.5</td>
<td>CPSA reviews the findings from the stakeholder review and pilot activities and incorporates any revisions as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.6</td>
<td>Standard revisions are approved by the Advisory Committee (AC) and Medical Facility Accreditation Committee (MFAC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.7</td>
<td>Following the AC and MFAC approval process:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) a new version of the standards is issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) the Stakeholder Implementation Process is initiated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 1.2 Annual Revision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2.1</th>
<th>Stakeholder requests for revision and post-assessment feedback surveys are reviewed upon receipt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2</td>
<td>Any required revisions received throughout the year are recorded in the standards revision tracking spreadsheet for follow-up in the annual revision process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3</td>
<td>If a revision requires an extraordinary standards release prior to the annual review, this would follow the same process as the annual revision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.4</td>
<td>A high-level review of any sentinel references is performed annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.5</td>
<td>All required revisions are incorporated and tracked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.6</td>
<td>Standard revisions are approved by the Advisory Committee (AC) and Medical Facility Accreditation Committee (MFAC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.7</td>
<td>Following the AC and MFAC approval process:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) a new sub-version of the standards is issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) the Stakeholder Implementation Process is initiated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2.0 Revision Processes

### 2.2 Revision Management

2.2.1 The CPSA performs an environmental scan of relevant reference materials (new, updated, obsolete) on a consistent, on-going basis.

2.2.2 The CPSA reviews:
- 1) requests from stakeholders to determine validity of the request and the submitted references
- 2) feedback from stakeholder post-assessment satisfaction surveys
- 3) changed, new or obsolete reference materials
- 4) sentinel references annually
- 5) all cited references every 4 years

2.2.3 Reference review determines:
- 1) currency of the reference material
- 2) any changes to content and implication on the standards/AOC
- 3) any new content and necessity for new standards/AOC
- 4) any deleted content and implication on the standards/AOC
- 5) any changes in reference numbers

Proposed changes must be determined with consideration of other relevant references.

2.2.4 The CPSA incorporates validated changes to standards into the relevant standards document.

2.2.5 Deleted standards are archived and the numbers are retired never to be used again to ensure there is an intact audit trail for each standard.

### 2.3 Stakeholder Review and Standards Piloting (4 year revision)

2.3.1 The CPSA distributes the draft standards to relevant stakeholders for a specified review period.

2.3.2 Stakeholders review the draft document(s) for the specified period) and submit feedback.

2.3.3 The CPSA reviews the feedback and revises the document(s) as required.

### 2.4 Approval

2.4.1 The standards revisions are presented to the Advisory Committee for review and approval.

2.4.2 The standards revisions are presented to the Medical Facility Accreditation Committee for review and approval.

### 2.5 Implementation

2.5.1 The CPSA posts the standards (Word and .pdf current versions) on the secure SharePoint site.

2.5.3 The CPSA prepares and distributes a comprehensive Standards Revision Summary document(s) for each standards document (e.g., general/discipline/modality), which lists the numbers of all standards that have had any revision (standard, AOC, guidance, references).
### Appendix A: Stakeholder Feedback on Standards

There is a formal process for the submission of stakeholder requests for revisions to current standards. Revision requests from stakeholders are accepted at any time for consideration if they meet the following conditions:

- they are submitted using the *Accreditation Standard Revision Request* form.
- there is identification of specific standard or section if applicable to multiple standards.
- they are supported by verifiable references; link or attachment (justification) included.
- they are applicable to all accredited facilities and not limited to organization specific practice.
- contact information is included for use by the CPSA if clarification of submission is required.

Stakeholder (assessor and facility) satisfaction surveys are distributed after each assessment.

Please rate the following aspects of the CPSA Standards:

*(Strongly Agree / Agree/Disagree/Strongly Disagree/NA)*

- The content of the standards is relevant to accredited facilities.
- The framework / format of the standards is understandable and user friendly.
- The wording of the standards is clear and unambiguous.
- There is a transparent system for measuring / rating compliance with each standard.
- The process for requesting a revision to the standards is clear and easy to follow.
- The information provided to users on approved standards revisions is clear and concise.